

Organisation

Katja Markert

PS/HS Bias, Heidelberg 2019

- Tuesday: 14.15 - 15.45
- Bachelor 6 LP, Master 8 LP
- Overview: Which semester? Subject combinations? Prior knowledge?
- Language: English, German possible if ok with everybody
- No Notebooks, smartphones etc
- My office hours: Thursday 11.15 - 12.15

- For Master students: none
- For Bachelor students: Statistical Methods for Computational Linguistics OR Neural Networks: Architectures and Applications for NLP OR Embeddings

- ➊ Bias operationalisations, legal background (1 session)
- ➋ Bias as Stereotypes: Measuring and Mitigating Bias in Word Embeddings (3 Sessions)
- ➌ Selection Bias: Bias in Wikipedia (1 session)
- ➍ Evaluation Corpora: GBETS (Gender Bias Evaluation Test Sets) for Coreference Resolution (1 Session)
- ➎ Case Studies I: Bias in MT and dialect processing (1 or 2 sessions)
- ➏ Bias as disparate impact of machine learning classification on protected groups (2 Sessions)
- ➐ Case Studies II: Bias in Text Classification (1 Session)
- ➑ Case Studies III: Visual semantic role labeling and/or image search results (1 session)
- ➒ Final discussion (1 session)
- ➓ In holidays (not obligatory): 1 Session to discuss intermediate progress on term papers and projects

See [https:](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

[//www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

- ➊ Bias operationalisations, legal background (1 session)
- ➋ Bias as Stereotypes: Measuring and Mitigating Bias in Word Embeddings (3 Sessions)
- ➌ Selection Bias: Bias in Wikipedia (1 session)
- ➍ Evaluation Corpora: GBETS (Gender Bias Evaluation Test Sets) for Coreference Resolution (1 Session)
- ➎ Case Studies I: Bias in MT and dialect processing (1 or 2 sessions)
- ➏ Bias as disparate impact of machine learning classification on protected groups (2 Sessions)
- ➐ Case Studies II: Bias in Text Classification (1 Session)
- ➑ Case Studies III: Visual semantic role labeling and/or image search results (1 session)
- ➒ Final discussion (1 session)
- ➓ In holidays (not obligatory): 1 Session to discuss intermediate progress on term papers and projects

See [https:](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

[//www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

- ➊ Bias operationalisations, legal background (1 session)
- ➋ Bias as Stereotypes: Measuring and Mitigating Bias in Word Embeddings (3 Sessions)
- ➌ Selection Bias: Bias in Wikipedia (1 session)
- ➍ Evaluation Corpora: GBETS (Gender Bias Evaluation Test Sets) for Coreference Resolution (1 Session)
- ➎ Case Studies I: Bias in MT and dialect processing (1 or 2 sessions)
- ➏ Bias as disparate impact of machine learning classification on protected groups (2 Sessions)
- ➐ Case Studies II: Bias in Text Classification (1 Session)
- ➑ Case Studies III: Visual semantic role labeling and/or image search results (1 session)
- ➒ Final discussion (1 session)
- ➓ In holidays (not obligatory): 1 Session to discuss intermediate progress on term papers and projects

See [https:](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

[//www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

- ➊ Bias operationalisations, legal background (1 session)
- ➋ Bias as Stereotypes: Measuring and Mitigating Bias in Word Embeddings (3 Sessions)
- ➌ Selection Bias: Bias in Wikipedia (1 session)
- ➍ Evaluation Corpora: GBETS (Gender Bias Evaluation Test Sets) for Coreference Resolution (1 Session)
- ➎ Case Studies I: Bias in MT and dialect processing (1 or 2 sessions)
- ➏ Bias as disparate impact of machine learning classification on protected groups (2 Sessions)
- ➐ Case Studies II: Bias in Text Classification (1 Session)
- ➑ Case Studies III: Visual semantic role labeling and/or image search results (1 session)
- ➒ Final discussion (1 session)
- ➓ In holidays (not obligatory): 1 Session to discuss intermediate progress on term papers and projects

See [https:](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

[//www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

- ➊ Bias operationalisations, legal background (1 session)
- ➋ Bias as Stereotypes: Measuring and Mitigating Bias in Word Embeddings (3 Sessions)
- ➌ Selection Bias: Bias in Wikipedia (1 session)
- ➍ Evaluation Corpora: GBETS (Gender Bias Evaluation Test Sets) for Coreference Resolution (1 Session)
- ➎ Case Studies I: Bias in MT and dialect processing (1 or 2 sessions)
- ➏ Bias as disparate impact of machine learning classification on protected groups (2 Sessions)
- ➐ Case Studies II: Bias in Text Classification (1 Session)
- ➑ Case Studies III: Visual semantic role labeling and/or image search results (1 session)
- ➒ Final discussion (1 session)
- ➓ In holidays (not obligatory): 1 Session to discuss intermediate progress on term papers and projects

See [https:](https://www.ci1.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

[//www.ci1.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material](https://www.ci1.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

- 1 Bias operationalisations, legal background (1 session)
- 2 Bias as Stereotypes: Measuring and Mitigating Bias in Word Embeddings (3 Sessions)
- 3 Selection Bias: Bias in Wikipedia (1 session)
- 4 Evaluation Corpora: GBETS (Gender Bias Evaluation Test Sets) for Coreference Resolution (1 Session)
- 5 Case Studies I: Bias in MT and dialect processing (1 or 2 sessions)
- 6 Bias as disparate impact of machine learning classification on protected groups (2 Sessions)
- 7 Case Studies II: Bias in Text Classification (1 Session)
- 8 Case Studies III: Visual semantic role labeling and/or image search results (1 session)
- 9 Final discussion (1 session)
- 10 In holidays (not obligatory): 1 Session to discuss intermediate progress on term papers and projects

See [https:](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

[//www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material](https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/bias/material)

How do I pass the module: Overview

- Active Participation: 33%
- Presentation: 33%
- Term Paper or Project or Second Presentation: 33%
- All components have to be passed **individually**

How do I pass the module: Active Participation

- Attendance: maximum twice unexcused missing of seminar
- Participating during seminar discussions
- **Written exercises/questions:** max 3 to be submitted to me (markert@cl.uni-heidelberg.de) per email by Monday 12 o'clock before the seminar with the subject line BIAS:
Date of session
- **Reviewing:** You will be reviewer for two sessions that you do not orally present with responsibility for discussion session and marking feedback (peer review of talk):
 - Ask questions on paper and evaluate paper
 - Steer and get involved in discussion after talk
 - Give additional background on paper or problem
 - Give feedback to module leader on talk

How to pass the module: Presentation

- Assessment criteria: Research plan, Implementation, Demo and/or Documentation, Evaluation
- Presentation on one to two papers from literature list
- Differences between PS and HS:
 - PS: mostly a **single** paper, **easier**, **30 (to 40) minutes** plus discussion. Potentially shared between two people (then 60 minutes plus discussion)
 - HS: mostly **two** (related) papers, **harder**, **60 minutes** plus discussion

How to pass the module: Presentation assessment

Content (60%), Style (20%), Discussion (20%)

- **Content**

- Problem definition and originality
- Has the main point been grasped?
- Technical and/or linguistic background and methodology
- Evaluation
- Paper Comparisons: has the main related work been looked at?
- Own opinion: Weaknesses and Strengths of Papers, future work, potential

- **Presentation:** Structure, Examples, Background and additional material, slides and graphics, clarity,

- **Leading the discussion:** Is discussion being stimulated? Can questions be answered? How is the interaction with the reviewers?

Content (60%), Style (20%), Discussion (20%)

- **Content**

- Problem definition and originality
- Has the main point been grasped?
- Technical and/or linguistic background and methodology
- Evaluation
- Paper Comparisons: has the main related work been looked at?
- Own opinion: Weaknesses and Strengths of Papers, future work, potential

- **Presentation:** Structure, Examples, Background and additional material, slides and graphics, clarity,

- **Leading the discussion:** Is discussion being stimulated? Can questions be answered? How is the interaction with the reviewers?

Content (60%), Style (20%), Discussion (20%)

- **Content**

- Problem definition and originality
- Has the main point been grasped?
- Technical and/or linguistic background and methodology
- Evaluation
- Paper Comparisons: has the main related work been looked at?
- Own opinion: Weaknesses and Strengths of Papers, future work, potential

- **Presentation:** Structure, Examples, Background and additional material, slides and graphics, clarity,

- **Leading the discussion:** Is discussion being stimulated? Can questions be answered? How is the interaction with the reviewers?

How to pass the module: Presentation preparation

- Send me draft slides **at least 7 days** before own talk (exception first talk)
- Opportunity for feedback: Office hour Thursday before seminar
- Discuss your presentation with reviewers if necessary

How to pass the module: Presentation tips

- Michael Wiegand has made an excellent overview over presentation dos and donts available here:
<https://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/courses/ws19/sentiment/material/howToPresent.pdf>
- I especially like from the above presentation
 - Tips on background and related work (page 18/19)
 - Emphasis on examples and diagrams
 - page 27/28 on evaluation and presenting tables
 - Tips on slide design: p. 35-42

How to pass the module: Presentation Tips

- Please **keep us all awake** with
 - change of medium (blackboard, videos)
 - examples and exercises
 - audience interaction . . .
- **Enrich** the paper not just reiterate it:
 - try and present the github demo/code
 - make up your own examples
 - connect papers to each other
 - develop new visualizations of method or results
 - say what you think about the paper . . .

How to pass the module: Term Paper

- Presentation extension
- Puts paper in the research context and evaluates it
- Assessment criteria
 - Technical understanding
 - Extension with and comparison to other papers
 - Structure and clarity
 - Presentation: referencing, English/German, Systematicity ...
 - Own Opinion and criticism
- MA: Max 14 pages, at least 11pt Font. Only electronic and pdf.
- BA: Max 10 pages, at least 11 pt font. Only electronic and pdf.
- Deadline: 15 April 2020, 17:00.

How to pass the module: Project

- Possibilities: Evaluation, Reimplementation, Further development of a paper ...
- Can be foundation for master/BA thesis
- Focussed: only 6 weeks planned
- Project description: Plan, including data usage, statistics, description of implementation, evaluation...
- Assessment criteria
 - Research plan
 - Implementation
 - Demo and/or Documentation
 - Evaluation
- Max 8 pages, at least 11pt Font. Only as pdf.
- Additionally: Code with README
- Deadline: 15 April 2020, 17:00.

- Extensions without mark change only with doctor's note.
- Otherwise marking with reduced marks:
 - 1 Week: one step (1.0 \rightarrow 1.3, 3.0 \rightarrow 3.3)
 - 2 Weeks: two step (1.0 \rightarrow 1.7)
 - 3 Weeks: three steps (1.0 \rightarrow 2.0)
 - 4 Weeks: four steps (1.0 \rightarrow 2.3)
 - even longer: not accepted anymore, 5.0

What's next?

- Questions?
- Literature and topics list
- 5.11: Introduction by me
- First student presentation: 12.11 (assigned today)
- **Registration and topic choice:** Email by Sunday, 3rd of November, 11.59 pm with three ordered topic preferences (Header: PS/HS Bias: Presentation)
- In email: Preference project/term paper or second talk
- In email: Name, Bachelor (%)/Master, percentages

- **For next time (5.11) Exercise I:** Read Obermeyer et al. 2019 plus the news presentation and forum discussion on the paper in <https://www.spiegel.de/netzwelt/apps/usa-algorithmus-benachteiligt-afroamerikanische-patienten.html>
- **Write a 1-2 page answer** to the questions on the next slide and send to me by Monday 4rth of November 12 am. Pairwise submission allowed.

What's next: Questions for Obermeyer et al 2019

- 1 What kind of bias does the paper describe (intentional/unintentional; to which protected or minority group; stereotyping or algorithmic decisions)?
- 2 What is the cause of the bias exhibited?
- 3 Research the terms *disparate treatment* vs *disparate impact*: are these two terms relevant to the bias exhibited?
- 4 Has the *Spiegel* article presented the research appropriately?
- 5 What additional points of the forum participants under the *Spiegel* article are (ir)relevant and which exhibit what kind of misunderstandings? (See for example posts 12,15,28 but please also look at others).