

From Context-Free Grammars to Definite Claus Grammars

Grammar Formalisms for CL
Seminar für Sprachwissenschaft

From CFGs to DCGs

- Towards a basic setup:
 - What needs to be represented?
 - How are context-free rules and logical implications related?
 - A first Prolog encoding
- Encoding the string coverage of a node:
From lists to difference lists
- Adding syntactic sugar:
Definite clause grammars (DCGs)
- Representing simple English grammars as DCGs

What needs to be represented?

We need representations (data types) for:

- terminals, i.e., words
- syntactic rules
- linguistic properties of terminals and their propagation in rules:
 - syntactic category
 - other properties
 - string covered (“phonology”)
 - case, agreement, . . .
- analysis trees, i.e., syntactic structures

Relating context-free rules and logical implications

- Take the following context-free rewrite rule:

$$S \rightarrow NP VP$$

- Nonterminals in such a rule can be understood as predicates holding of the lists of terminals dominated by the nonterminal.
- A context-free rule then corresponds to a logical implication:

$$\forall X \forall Y \forall Z NP(X) \wedge VP(Y) \wedge \text{append}(X, Y, Z) \Rightarrow S(Z)$$

- Context-free rules can thus directly be encoded as logic programs.

Components of a direct Prolog encoding

- terminals: unit clauses (facts)
- syntactic rules: non-unit clauses (rules)
- linguistic properties:
 - syntactic category: predicate name
 - other properties: predicate's arguments, distinguished by position
 - * in general: compound terms
 - * for strings: list representation
 - analysis trees:
compound term as predicate argument

A small example grammar $G = (N, \Sigma, S, P)$

$$N = \{S, NP, VP, V_i, V_t, V_s\}$$

$$\Sigma = \{a, clown, Mary, laughs, loves, thinks\}$$

$$S = S$$

$$P = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} S & \rightarrow NP VP \\ VP & \rightarrow V_i \\ VP & \rightarrow V_t NP \\ VP & \rightarrow V_s S \\ V_i & \rightarrow laughs \\ V_t & \rightarrow loves \\ V_s & \rightarrow thinks \end{array} \right. \left. \begin{array}{ll} NP & \rightarrow Det N \\ NP & \rightarrow PN \\ PN & \rightarrow Mary \\ Det & \rightarrow a \\ N & \rightarrow clown \end{array} \right\}$$

An encoding in Prolog

```
s(S) :- np(NP), vp(VP), append(NP,VP,S).
```

```
vp(VP) :- vi(VP).
```

```
vp(VP) :- vt(VT), np(NP), append(VT,NP,VP).
```

```
vp(VP) :- vs(VS), s(S), append(VS,S,VP).
```

```
np(NP) :- pn(NP).
```

```
np(NP) :- det(Det), n(N), append(Det,N,NP).
```

```
pn([mary]).      n([clown]).      det([a]).
```

```
vi([laughs]).   vt([loves]).      vs([thinks]).
```

A modified encoding

`s(S) :- append(NP,VP,S), np(NP), vp(VP).`

`vp(VP) :- vi(VP).`

`vp(VP) :- append(VT,NP,VP), vt(VT), np(NP).`

`vp(VP) :- append(VS,S,VP), vs(VS), s(S).`

`np(NP) :- pn(NP).`

`np(NP) :- append(Det,N,NP), det(Det), n(N).`

`pn([mary]).` `n([clown]).` `det([a]).`

`vi([laughs]).` `vt([loves]).` `vs([thinks]).`

Difference list encoding

`s(X0, Xn) :- np(X0, X1), vp(X1, Xn).`

`vp(X0, Xn) :- vi(X0, Xn).`

`vp(X0, Xn) :- vt(X0, X1), np(X1, Xn).`

`vp(X0, Xn) :- vs(X0, X1), s(X1, Xn).`

`np(X0, Xn) :- pn(X0, Xn).`

`np(X0, Xn) :- det(X0, X1), n(X1, Xn).`

`pn([mary|X], X). n([clown|X], X). det([a|X], X).`

`vi([laughs|X], X). vt([loves|X], X). vs([thinks|X], X).`

Basic DCG notation for encoding CFGs

A DCG rule has the form “*LHS* --> *RHS*.” with

- *LHS*: a Prolog atom encoding a non-terminal, and
- *RHS*: a comma separated sequence of
 - Prolog atoms encoding non-terminals
 - Prolog lists encoding terminals

When a DCG rule is read in by Prolog, it is expanded by adding the difference list arguments to each predicate.

(Some Prologs also use a special predicate 'C'/3 to encode the coverage of terminals, defined as 'C' ([Head|Tail], Head, Tail).)

Examples for some cfg rules in DCG notation

- $S \rightarrow NP VP$
 $s \text{ --> } np, vp.$
- $S \rightarrow NP \text{ thinks } S$
 $s \text{ --> } np, [thinks], s.$
- $S \rightarrow NP \text{ picks up } NP$
 $s \text{ --> } np, [picks, up], np.$
- $S \rightarrow NP \text{ picks } NP \text{ up}$
 $s \text{ --> } np, [picks], np, [up].$
- $NP \rightarrow \epsilon$
 $np \text{ --> } [].$

An example grammar in definite clause notation

dcg/dcg_encoding.pl

s --> np, vp.

np --> pn.

np --> det, n.

vp --> vi.

vp --> vt, np.

vp --> vs, s.

pn --> [mary]. n --> [clown]. det --> [a].

vi --> [laughs]. vt --> [loves]. vs --> [thinks].

The example expanded by Prolog

```
?- listing.
```

```
s(A, B) :-  
    np(A, C),  
    vp(C, B).
```

```
np(A, B) :-  
    pn(A, B).
```

```
np(A, B) :-  
    det(A, C),  
    n(C, B).
```

```
vp(A, B) :-  
    vi(A, B).
```

```
vp(A, B) :-  
    vt(A, C),  
    np(C, B).
```

```
vp(A, B) :-  
    vs(A, C),  
    s(C, B).
```

```
pn([mary|A], A).
```

```
n([clown|A], A).
```

```
det([a|A], A).
```

```
vi([laughs|A], A).
```

```
vt([loves|A], A).
```

```
vs([thinks|A], A).
```

More complex terms in DCGs

Non-terminals can be any Prolog term, e.g.:

```
s --> np(Per, Num),  
      vp(Per, Num).
```

This is translated by Prolog to

```
s(A, B) :-  
    np(C, D, A, E),  
    vp(C, D, E, B).
```

Using compound terms to store an analysis tree

dcg/dcg_tree.pl

```
s(s_node(NP,VP)) --> np(NP), vp(VP).
```

```
np(np_node(PN)) --> pn(PN).
```

```
np(np_node(Det,N)) --> det(Det), n(N).
```

```
vp(vp_node(VI)) --> vi(VI).
```

```
vp(vp_node(VT,NP)) --> vt(VT), np(NP).
```

```
vp(vp_node(VS,S)) --> vs(VS), s(S).
```

```
pn(mary_node) --> [mary].
```

```
n(clown_node) --> [clown].
```

```
det(a_node) --> [a].
```

```
vi(laugh_node) --> [laughs].
```

```
vt(love_node) --> [loves].
```

```
vs(think_node) --> [thinks].
```

Adding more linguistic properties

dcg/dcg_linguistic.pl

s --> np(Per,Num), vp(Per,Num).

vp(Per,Num) --> vi(Per,Num).

vp(Per,Num) --> vt(Per,Num), np(_,_).

vp(Per,Num) --> vs(Per,Num), s.

pn --> pn.

np(3,Num) --> det(Num), n(Num).

pn --> [mary].

det(sg) --> [a]. n(sg) --> [clown].

det(_) --> [the]. n(pl) --> [clowns].

vi(3,sg) --> [laughs]. vi(_,pl) --> [laugh].

vt(3,sg) --> [loves]. vt(_,pl) --> [love].

vs(3,sg) --> [thinks]. vs(_,pl) --> [think].

Additional notation: The RHS of DCGs can include

- **disjunctions** expressed by the “;” operator, e.g.:

```
vp --> v intr;  
      vtrans, np.
```

- **groupings** are expressed using parenthesis “()”, e.g.

```
vp --> v, (pp_of; pp_at).
```

- **extra conditions** expressed as prolog relation calls inside “{ }” :

```
s --> {write('in rule 1'),nl},  
      np, {write('after np'),nl},  
      vp, {write('after vp'),nl}.
```

```
s --> np(Case), vp, {check_case(Case)}.
```

Towards a basic DCG for English: X-bar Theory

Generalizing over possible phrase structure rules, one can attempt to specify DCG rules fitting the following general pattern:

$$X^2 \rightarrow \text{specifier}^2 X^1$$
$$X^1 \rightarrow X^1 \text{ modifier}^2$$
$$X^1 \rightarrow \text{modifier}^2 X^1$$
$$X^1 \rightarrow X^0 \text{ complement}^2 *$$

To turn this general X-bar pattern into actual DCG rules,

- X has to be replaced by one of the atoms encoding syntactic categories, and
- the bar-level needs to be encoded as an argument of each predicate encoding a syntactic category.

Noun, preposition, and adjective phrases

```
n(2,Num) --> pronoun(Num) .
n(2,Num) --> proper_noun(Num) .
n(2,Num) --> det(Num), n(1,Num) .
n(2,plur) --> n(1,plur) .
n(1,Num) --> pre_mod, n(1,Num) .
n(1,Num) --> n(1,Num), post_mod .
n(1,Num) --> n(0,Num) .
...

p(2,Pform) --> p(1,Pform) .
p(1,Pform) --> adv, p(1,Pform). % slowly past the window
p(1,Pform) --> p(0,Pform), n(2,_).
...

a(2) --> deg, a(1). % very simple
a(1) --> adv, a(1). % commonly used
a(1) --> a(0) .
```

Verb phrases and sentences

`v(2,Vform,Num) --> v(1,Vform,Num).`
`v(1,Vform,Num) --> adv, v(1,Vform,Num).`
`v(1,Vform,Num) --> v(1,Vform,Num), verb_postmods.`
`v(1,Vform,Num) --> v(0,intrans,Vform,Num).`
`v(1,Vform,Num) --> v(0,trans,Vform,Num), n(2).`
`v(1,Vform,Num) --> v(0,ditrans,Vform,Num), n(2), n(2).`
`...`

`s(Vform) --> n(2,Num), v(2,Vform,Num).`