# Part I

# Frame Identification

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = のへで

## FrameNet

- A frame describes prototypical events
- The participants are attached as frame elements, describing semantic roles

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Annotated data exists for English and German
- The lexical units of a frame describe how a frame can be realized in text

# Frame Identification

#### The Task

1. Select appropriate candidate frames from a frame repository (i.e., FrameNet)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

2. Disambiguate between them

# Frame Identification

#### The Task

- 1. Select appropriate candidate frames from a frame repository (i.e., FrameNet)
- 2. Disambiguate between them

#### Example

- ► [Up to 65 protesters] were reported to have been <u>burned</u> [to death] [...]. CAUSE\_HARM
- ► [Her flesh] <u>burned</u> [where he touched it]. PERCEPTION\_BODY

## How to ...?

 Take it as a classification task: classify candidates (e.g., verbs and nouns) into classes (Frames)

## How to ...?

#### Take it as a classification task: classify candidates (e.g., verbs and nouns) into classes (Frames)

#### Features

- Following Erk and Pado (2006)
  - ► Shallow: Word, POS, Lemma of a bag of words and/or window

- Deeper: Object, Preposition of target verb
- Trying to take the detour (Burchardt et al. 2005)
  - Deep: Using WSD to identify the frame

#### Resources

FrameNet (data and corpus), WordNet

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Detour
- UKB (for WSD)
- Weka

#### References

- Collin F. Baker, Charles J. Fillmore, and John B. Lowe. The Berkeley FrameNet Project. In *Proceedings of the conference of the Association of Computational Linguistics (ACL)*, pages 86–90, Montreal, Canada, 1998.
- Aljoscha Burchardt, Katrin Erk, and Anette Frank. A WordNet Detour to FrameNet. In B. Fisseni, H.-C. Schmitz, B. Schröder, and P. Wagner, editors, *Sprachtechnologie, mobile Kommunikation und linguistische Resourcen*, volume 8 of *Computer Studies in Language and Speech*, pages 408–421. Peter Lang, Frankfurt am Main, 2005.
- Aljoscha Burchardt, Katrin Erk, Anette Frank, Andrea Kowalski, Sebastian Pado, and Manfred Pinkal. The SALSA Corpus: a German Corpus Resource for Lexical Semantics. In *Proceedings* of *LREC*, Genoa, Italy, 2006.
- Katrin Erk and Sebastian Pado. Shalmaneser a flexible toolbox for semantic role assignment. In *Proceedings of the Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC)*, Genoa, Italy, 2006.

# Part II Semantic roles in discourse

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

FrameNet – again, but . . .

#### ... focussing on semantic roles

- Frame elements represent semantic roles (e.g., Agent, Buyer, ...)
- Sometimes, core (conceptually necessary) frame elements (like a *Buyer* in a *Commerce\_buy*-frame) are not present in a sentence

In FrameNet, they are marked as Null Instantiated, but ... FrameNet – again, but . . .

#### ... focussing on semantic roles

- Frame elements represent semantic roles (e.g., Agent, Buyer, ...)
- Sometimes, core (conceptually necessary) frame elements (like a *Buyer* in a *Commerce\_buy*-frame) are not present in a sentence
- In FrameNet, they are marked as Null Instantiated, but...
- ... it seems reasonable, that they are present in the discourse

# Roles in Discourse

#### Example

► Our train to Oxford<sub>Goal</sub> departed at 2pm. We <u>arrived</u> at 8pm. ARRIVING

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

## Roles in Discourse



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

#### Example

► Our train to Oxford<sub>Goal</sub> departed at 2pm. We <u>arrived</u> at 8pm. ARRIVING Task description (Ruppenhofer et al. 2009)

- 1. Recognize that a core role is missing
- 2. Ascertain that it should have a definite filler (Identify Definite Null Instantiations)
- 3. Find an antecedent for it (in the discourse)

#### The datasets

- Trial: 10 sentences in SalsaTigerXML (Erk and Pado 2004) for the development of the system
- Training: Will be released in October
- Test: Released in April (too late for the SWP; We need to split the training set)

## How to ...?

?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

## How to ...?

# ?

It's a shared task performed for the first time, so it's an open question

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- If you have a good idea go ahead!
- Otherwise, we have an idea ...

# One possible approach

#### Take it as a classification problem

1. Identify (definite) null instantiated frame elements (NIs)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- 2. Identify candidates for the NIs (possible antecedents)
- 3. Extract features, use a classifier

# One possible approach

#### Take it as a classification problem

- 1. Identify (definite) null instantiated frame elements (NIs)
- 2. Identify candidates for the NIs (possible antecedents)
- 3. Extract features, use a classifier
- Possible features for the classifier
  - NIs: Semantic type, generalizations from FrameNet hierarchy, Syntactic context, coreference information, ...
  - Antecedents: Word sense, Syntactic context, Frame context, Coreference information, ...

#### Resources

- FrameNet, WordNet, corresponding APIs
- Coreference Resolution: BART
- Word Sense Disambiguation: UKB
- opt. Syntactic Parser: XLE, Stanford Parser or Berkeley Parser
- Shallow linguistic information: TreeTagger (POS, Lemmas)

- ► FrameNet Parser: Shalmaneser
- Classifier: Weka

#### References

Katrin Erk and Sebastian Pado. A powerful and versatile XML Format for representing role-semantic annotation. In Proceedings of the Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC), Lisbon, Portugal, 2004.

Simone Paolo Ponzetto and Michael Strube. Exploiting semantic role labeling, WordNet and Wikipedia for coreference resolution. In Proceedings of the Human Language Technology Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, New York, N.Y., 4–9 June 2006, pages 192–199, 2006.

Josef Ruppenhofer, Caroline Sporleder, Roser Morante, Collin Baker, and Martha Palmer. Semeval-2010 task 10: Linking events and their participants in discourse. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Semantic Evaluations: Recent Achievements and Future Directions (SEW-2009)*, pages 106–111, Boulder, Colorado, June 2009. Association for Computational Linguistics.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Part III

# Identifying Relation Patterns in Recipes

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = のへで

## Recipes and Event chains

- Recipes describe event chains
- Event chain: Partially ordered set of events (temporally)

- ▶ Event: Action and participants (≃ a frame)
- Fixed set of participants in event chains

## Recipes and Event chains

- Recipes describe event chains
- Event chain: Partially ordered set of events (temporally)
- ▶ Event: Action and participants (≃ a frame)
- Fixed set of participants in event chains

► Automatic extraction of event chains from recipe corpora ⇒ Feed the cooking robot with data ☺

## Recipes and Event chains

- Recipes describe event chains
- Event chain: Partially ordered set of events (temporally)
- ▶ Event: Action and participants (≃ a frame)
- Fixed set of participants in event chains

► Automatic extraction of event chains from recipe corpora ⇒ Feed the cooking robot with data ☺

 Identification of common subsequences, replaceable ingredients and associated procedures

# Example

#### Examples

1. Sprinkle the meat with salt and pepper. Recipe: Lamb Salad with Roasted Vegetables

2. *sprinkle the meat with a little cayenne.* Recipe: Stewed Rump of Beef

## Example

#### Examples

- 1. Sprinkle the meat with salt and pepper. Recipe: Lamb Salad with Roasted Vegetables
- 2. *sprinkle the meat with a little cayenne.* Recipe: Stewed Rump of Beef
- [meat(x1), sprinkle(x2), salt(x3), pepper(x3), event(x2), patient(x2,x1), with(x2,x3)]
- [meat(x1), sprinkle(x2), cayenne(x3), event(x2), patient(x2,x1), with(x2,x3)]

## Example

#### Examples

- 1. Sprinkle the meat with salt and pepper. Recipe: Lamb Salad with Roasted Vegetables
- 2. *sprinkle the meat with a little cayenne.* Recipe: Stewed Rump of Beef
- [meat(x1), sprinkle(x2), salt(x3), pepper(x3), event(x2), patient(x2,x1), with(x2,x3)]
- [meat(x1), sprinkle(x2), cayenne(x3), event(x2), patient(x2,x1), with(x2,x3)]
- [meat(x1), sprinkle(x2), wn:flavorer(x3), event(x2), patient(x2, x1), with(x2, x3)]

## Semantic Representation

- Boxer (Bos 2008) produces DRSs for plain text
- Predicates need to be disambiguated w.r.t. WordNet

Heuristically, based on the domain

## Variances and Similarities

- Chambers and Jurafsky (2009) propose a method to learn narrative chains, including semantic roles
- "Narrative Schemas" are based on the frequency, with which discourse referents are appearing as arguments for certain verbs
- Allows Identification of common sequences and predication of next action

 ▶ Originally used on raw dependency parses
→ Adaption to the more preprocessed output from Boxer (e.g., semantic roles)

## Resources

► C&C, Boxer

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- WordNet
- Weka

#### References

Johan Bos. Wide-Coverage Semantic Analysis with Boxer. In Johan Bos and Rodolfo Delmonte, editors, *Semantics in Text Processing. STEP 2008 Conference Proceedings*, volume 1 of *Research in Computational Semantics*, pages 277–286. College Publications, 2008. URL

http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W08-2222.

- Aljoscha Burchardt, Anette Frank, and Manfred Pinkal. Building Text Meaning Representations from Contextually Related Frames. In *Proceedings of IWCS*, 2006.
- Nathanael Chambers and Dan Jurafsky. Unsupervised Learning of Narrative Event Chains. In *Proceedings of ACL-08: HLT*, pages 789–797, Columbus, Ohio, June 2008. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nathanael Chambers and Dan Jurafsky. Unsupervised learning of narrative schemas and their participants. In Proceedings of the Joint Conference of the 47th Annual Meeting of the ACL and the 4th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing of the AFNLP, pages 602–610, Suntec, Singapore, =