Convolutional Sequence to Sequence Learning

Jonas Gehring, Michael Auli, David Grangier, Denis Yarats and Yann N. Dauphin

Katharina Korfhage

Heidelberg University

November 07, 2019

System Architectur

Results 000000000 Discussion 00000000

Outline

1 Introduction

2 System Architecture



Introduction

What?

- The first fully convolutional model for sequence-to-sequence learning
 - gated linear units (GLUs)
 - residual connections
 - attention
- New state of the art results on several large benchmark datasets

Introduction

Why?

 Fixed size contexts, yet easily scalable through stacking of layers

ightarrow control of maximum length of dependencies to be modeled

- Hierarchical representations over input sequence
 - $\rightarrow\,$ shorter path to capturing long-range dependencies
- Fixed number of non-linearities
 - \rightarrow easier optimization
- Batching, kernels independent of previous output
 - ightarrow parallelizable, faster learning

System Architecture

Results 000000000 Discussion 000000000

Overview



November 07, 201

Convolutional Seq2Seq Learning

Katharina Korfhage

System Architecture

Results 000000000

→(∑)

stimmen zu «/s>

Embeddings



Convolutional Block Structure

- A.k.a. multi-layer network
- Block/layer:
 - one-dimensional convolution
 - non-linearity
- Kernel size k→ fixed number of input elements
- Single block: output contains information about k input elements
- Stacking several blocks: increases the number of input elements represented in the state
- Output:
 - Encoder: $z' = (z'_1, ..., z'_m)$
 - Decoder: $h' = (h'_1, ..., h''_n)$



Convolutions

- Each convolution kernel of size k:
 - takes as input $X \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$
 - multiplies the input with weights $W \in \mathbb{R}^{2d \times kd}$
 - adds a bias $b_w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$
 - maps the k input elements to a single output element $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$
- Subsequent blocks iterate over the k output elements of the previous block



Non-Linearities/GLUs

- Take the output of the convolution as input: $Y = [A \ B] \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$
- Gating mechanism: $v([A B]) = A \otimes \sigma(B)$
 - Input [A B] is "split up" in two parts $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^d$
 - Sigmoid activation function is applied to B
 - Pointwise multiplication of A and *σ*(B)
- Output v([A B]) ∈ ℝ^d → half the size of the input Y



Residual connections

 input of the convolution added to the output of the block



Block Structure



Padding

- Deep network: stacking several layers on top of each other
- Higher layer takes k output elements of lower layer as input
- Input size to higher layers should match the output size of lower layers → padding!
- Decoder: no future information must be available!
 - \rightarrow Solution: Pad by k-1 elements on both sides, then remove k elements from the ends



Multi-step Attention

- Separate attention mechanism for each decoder layer
- "Decoder state summary":
 - Combine current decoder state h^l_i with an embedding of the previous target element g_i
 d^l_i = W^l_dh^l_i + b^l_d + g_i



Multi-step Attention

Dot-product attention between:

- Decoder state summary d_i^l
- Output of last encoder block
 z_j^u

•
$$a_{ij}^l = \frac{exp(d_i^l z_j^u)}{\sum_{t=1}^m exp(d_i^l z_t^u)}$$



Conditional decoder input

- Weighted sum of encoder outputs and input element embeddings e_i
- $c_i^l = \sum_j {}_1^m a_{ij}^l (z_j^u + e_j)$
 - Difference to recurrent approaches: calculate the weighted sum over z^u_i only



Predictions

- Probability distribution over the *T* possible next target elements *y*_{i+1}
- Transforming the top decoder output h^L_i with weights W_o and bias b_o:

 $p(y_{i+1}|y_1,...,y_{i,x}) = softmax(W_oh_i^L + b_o)$



Datasets

| WMT'16 | WMT'14 | WMT'14 | Abstractive summarization |
|---|--|---|--|
| Eng-Rum | Eng-Ger | Eng-Fre | |
| 2.8M sentence pairs Evaluation on newstest2016 200/80K word types 40K BPE types Max. 175 words/sentence | 4.5M sentence pairs Evaluation on newstest2014 40K BPE types | 35.5M sentence pairs Evaluation on newstest2014 40K BPE types Max. 175 words/sentence Max. source/ target length ratio 1.5 | Gigaword: 3.8M training examples Evaluation on DUC-2004 test data 30K source/ target word vocabulary outputs at least 14 words |

System Architectur

Results 0●0000000

Results

| WMT'16 English-Romanian | BLEU |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Sennrich et al. (2016b) GRU (BPE 90K) | 28.1 |
| ConvS2S (Word 80K) | 29.45 |
| ConvS2S (BPE 40K) | 30.02 |

| WMT'14 English-German | BLEU |
|---|-------|
| Luong et al. (2015) LSTM (Word 50K) | 20.9 |
| Kalchbrenner et al. (2016) ByteNet (Char) | 23.75 |
| Wu et al. (2016) GNMT (Word 80K) | 23.12 |
| Wu et al. (2016) GNMT (Word pieces) | 24.61 |
| ConvS2S (BPE 40K) | 25.16 |

| WMT'14 English-French | BLEU |
|--|-------|
| Wu et al. (2016) GNMT (Word 80K) | 37.90 |
| Wu et al. (2016) GNMT (Word pieces) | 38.95 |
| Wu et al. (2016) GNMT (Word pieces) + RL | 39.92 |
| ConvS2S (BPE 40K) | 40.51 |

| Results: Ensembling | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| | |
| WMT'14 English-German BLEU | |
| Wu et al. (2016) GNMT 26.20 | |
| Wu et al. (2016) GNMT + RL 26.30 | |
| ConvS2S 26.43 | |
| WMT'14 English-French BLEU | |
| Zhou et al. (2016) 40.4 | |
| Wu et al. (2016) GNMT 40.35 | |
| Wu et al. (2016) GNMT + RL 41.16 | |
| ConvS2S 41.44 | |
| ConvS2S (10 models) 41.62 | |

November 07, 201

Results 000●00000

Results: Generation speed

| | BLEU | Time (s) |
|----------------------------------|-------|----------|
| GNMT GPU (K80) | 31.20 | 3,028 |
| GNMT CPU 88 cores | 31.20 | 1,322 |
| GNMT TPU | 31.21 | 384 |
| ConvS2S GPU (K40) b = 1 | 33.45 | 327 |
| ConvS2S GPU (M40) $b = 1$ | 33.45 | 221 |
| ConvS2S GPU (GTX-1080ti) $b = 1$ | 33.45 | 142 |
| ConvS2S CPU 48 cores $b = 1$ | 33.45 | 142 |
| ConvS2S GPU (K40) b = 5 | 34.10 | 587 |
| ConvS2S CPU 48 cores $b = 5$ | 34.10 | 482 |
| ConvS2S GPU (M40) $b = 5$ | 34.10 | 406 |
| ConvS2S GPU (GTX-1080ti) $b = 5$ | 34.10 | 256 |

Results 0000●0000 Discussion 000000000

Results: Positional Embeddings

-

| | PPL | BLEU |
|---------------------------|------|------|
| ConvS2S | 6.64 | 21.7 |
| -source position | 6.69 | 21.3 |
| -target position | 6.63 | 21.5 |
| -source & target position | 6.68 | 21.2 |

Results: Attention

| Attn Layers | PPL | BLEU |
|-------------|------|-------|
| 1,2,3,4,5 | 6.65 | 21.63 |
| 1,2,3,4 | 6.70 | 21.54 |
| 1,2,3 | 6.95 | 21.36 |
| 1,2 | 6.92 | 21.47 |
| 1,3,5 | 6.97 | 21.10 |
| 1 | 7.15 | 21.26 |
| 2 | 7.09 | 21.30 |
| 3 | 7.11 | 21.19 |
| 4 | 7.19 | 21.31 |
| 5 | 7.66 | 20.24 |

Results 000000●00

Results: Number of layers



| Introd | |
|--------|--|
| | |

System Architectu

Results 0000000●0

Results: Kernel sizes

| Kernel width | Encoder layers | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | 5 | 9 | 13 |
| 3 | 20.61 | 21.17 | 21.63 |
| 5 | 20.80 | 21.02 | 21.42 |
| 7 | 20.81 | 21.30 | 21.09 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Kernel width | Dec | coder lay | yers |
| Kernel width | Dec 3 | coder lay 5 | yers 7 |
| Kernel width | Dec 3 21.10 | coder lay 5 21.71 | yers 7 21.62 |
| Kernel width 3 5 | Dec 3 21.10 21.09 | coder lay 5 21.71 21.63 | yers 7 21.62 21.24 |

Results 00000000●

Results: Summarization

| | DUC-2004 | | Gigaword | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | RG-1 (R) | RG-2 (R) | RG-L (R) | RG-1 (F) | RG-2 (F) | RG-L (F) |
| RNN MLE (Shen et al., 2016) | 24.92 | 8.60 | 22.25 | 32.67 | 15.23 | 30.56 |
| RNN MRT (Shen et al., 2016) | 30.41 | 10.87 | 26.79 | 36.54 | 16.59 | 33.44 |
| WFE (Suzuki & Nagata, 2017) | 32.28 | 10.54 | 27.80 | 36.30 | 17.31 | 33.88 |
| ConvS2S | 30.44 | 10.84 | 26.90 | 35.88 | 17.48 | 33.29 |

| System Architecture | Results | Discussion |
|---------------------|----------|------------|
| 00000000000 | 00000000 | •00000000 |
| | | |

Thank you for your attention!

Any questions?

[Original question in German]

The input to the kernel has a dimension of $k \times d$. Then how can the kernel itself have dimensions of $2d \times kd$? (Section 3.2)

- [Original question in German]
 "We compute a distribution over the *T* possible next target elements" (Section 3.2).
 - \rightarrow Does this mean that for translations, for example, T elements are created "at once", and then the next T elements?
 - $\rightarrow\,$ How is the output created?

| | System Architecture | Results 00000000 | Discussion 00●000000 |
|------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Discussion | | | |

- "We proceed similarly for output elements that were already generated by the decoder network to yield output element representations that are being fed back into the decoder network g= (g1,...,gn)." (Section 3.1)
 - \rightarrow Does it here mean that the outputs from lower blocks/layers and fed into the higher blocks/layers, or there are two different computation process with the same decoder network?
- According to the authors, removing the position features in both encoder and decoder doesn't affect the result a lot, and the model with convolutional block structure is able to capture the sequence information. (Section 5.4)
 - \rightarrow Why is this? Residual connections? Because every state output results from *k* continuous input elements?

- I noticed that the whole structure of the model resembles the transformer, but with convolution doing the self-attention job. Are there even more similarities?
- Could we discuss where in the model the Gated Linear Units are exactly used? I am just not sure if I understood correctly what A and B is.
- Is there a special reason why the output kernel size is 2d, meaning that a size o d times k inputs is mapped to a single vector of 2d?

| | System Architecture | Results 00000000 | Discussion 00000000 |
|------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Discussion | | | |

- Judging from paragraph 3.1 to me it reads like these are simple one-hot vectors. However, later on the authors write "the models can learn relative position information" (Section
 - 5.4). This suggest that they far more complex. [...]
 - $\rightarrow\,$ How exactly are those positional embeddings created or even learned?
- The authors find that encoders and to a smaller degree decoders work better with narrow kernels and many layers than with wider kernels (Section 5.6).
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Why do the encoders (and decoders) work better with these parameters?

| System Architecture | Results | Discussion |
|---------------------|----------|------------|
| 00000000000 | 00000000 | 000000000 |
| | | |

- What are the advantages of using GLUs in this work?
- Why are deeper architectures more beneficial for the encoder than for the decoder?

- What's the justification for using the gated linear unit? Isn't it linear in terms of it's input Av([AB]) = A ⊗ σ(B) or am I missing something?
- This paper doesn't really seem to describe the structure of the decoder network, how does it work? Are the convolutions transposed?

| | System Architecture | Results 00000000 | Discussion 000000000 |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| D : . | | | |

- Why are residual connections able to allow deep convolutional networks? (Section 3.2)
- "Multi-layer convolutional neural networks create hierarchical representations over the input sequence in which nearby input elements interact at lower layers while distant elements interact at higher layers." (Section 1)
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Are there approaches which exploit these hierarchical representations to improve the translation?
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Have these representations been used on other tasks?

Paper

Jonas Gehring, Michael Auli, David Grangier, Denis Yarats, and Yann N. Dauphin. Convolutional sequence to sequence learning, 2017.